

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ddress:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov
	www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/908,934	07/19/2001	Shih-Ping Liou	2000P07785 US01	1038
7590 07/07/2005			EXAMINER	
Siemens Corp		POND, ROBERT M		
Intellectual Property Department 186 Wood Avenue South			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Iselin, NJ 088			3625	
			DATE MAILED: 07/07/200:	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_	
09/908,934	LIOU, SHIH-PING		
Examiner	Art Unit	_	
Robert M. Pond	3625		

Advisory Action	09/908,934	LIOU, SHIH-PING				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert M. Pond	3625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 June 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	·			
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of						
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).  MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706 07(f)					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ay reduce any			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a since an incomposition.</li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.			
AMENDMENTS	·					
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in below.	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);				
appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	+ (PTOL -324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	):	omphant Amendment	(I TOL-324).			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate	•				
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidat	lotice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence i	<u>not</u> be entered is necessary			
9.   The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the	e date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be			
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	y and was not earlier presented. S	See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	(1).			
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As cited, Tackbary teaches use of tabs as illustrated in Fig. 8. The Examiner did not alter claim language. Tackbary teaches multiple views presented in a browser interface, A defined region on a single page can change while other data on the same web page remain constant. Tackbary teaches active linking of objects within a textual context. The Examiner recommends claim language that clarifies the claimed invention. Though not relied upon. tabbed views of information are old and well-known in the software application arts. Product data is just information. Creating multiple views of various size, shapes, layering, tiling are old and well-known in the arts. The Examiner suggests the Applicant consider a telephonic interview for further discussions.

2

Privay Examiner